

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  
CAMDEN VICINAGE**

JHON JAIRO ESPANARUIZ,	:	CIV. NO. 24-6930 (RMB)
	:	
Petitioner	:	<b>OPINION</b>
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
WARDEN, FCI FORT DIX,	:	
	:	
Respondent	:	

---

RENÉE MARIE BUMB, Chief United States District Judge

Petitioner Jhon Jairo Espanaruiz, a federal inmate incarcerated at FCI Fort Dix, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 seeking application of First Step Act time credits (“FSA Time Credits”) under 18 U.S.C. § 3632(d)(4). (Petition, Dkt. No. 1.) He asserts that the Federal Bureau of Prisons (“BOP”) improperly denied him FSA Time Credits because his immigration final order of removal was defective. (Pet. ¶ 3.) Respondent now seeks dismissal of the petition as moot. (Letter, Dkt. No. 6.) For the reasons set forth below, the Court will dismiss the petition.

**I. BACKGROUND**

Petitioner challenges his expedited final order of removal and seeks habeas release from BOP custody upon application of his earned FSA time credits. (Pet., Dkt. No. 1.) Respondent filed an answer, asserting Petitioner failed to exhaust his

administrative remedies, and he is statutorily ineligible to apply FSA Time Credits because he is subject to an enforceable and unreviewable immigration final order of removal. (Answer, Dkt. No. 5.) Respondent now seeks dismissal of the petition as moot because Petitioner completed his sentence on May 29, 2025, and was released to ICE custody. (Letter Request, Dkt. No. 6.)

## **II. DISCUSSION**

A habeas corpus petition under § 2241 must present a live “case” or “controversy” under Article III of the U.S. Constitution. A case becomes moot when intervening events eliminate the petitioner’s personal stake in the outcome or when the court can no longer provide meaningful relief. *Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp.*, 77 F.3d 690, 698 (3d Cir. 1996). In this case, Petitioner has been released from BOP custody upon expiration of his sentence. (Declaration of Alisha Gallagher and Exhibit 1, Dkt. No. 6-1 and 6-2.) Because there remains no live dispute or available judicial relief, the petition is moot.

## **III. CONCLUSION**

The petition no longer presents a justiciable controversy. Accordingly, it will be dismissed.

An appropriate Order follows.

**DATE: June 6, 2025**

s/Renée Marie Bumb  
 RENÉE MARIE BUMB  
 Chief United States District Judge